

Article 16. Student Assessment Procedure

1. Evaluation of students at the university in all educational levels (undergraduate, postgraduate, doctoral) of educational and scientific-research components is carried out as follows:

A. Assessment in the educational component (educational course, internship, undergraduate thesis, etc.) and scientific research component (master thesis) is carried out by a 100-point system.

B. The course is considered valid if the student's final grade is equal to or greater than 51%.

C. Bachelor's / Master's thesis evaluation is positive if thesis is equal to or greater than 51%.

2. A grading system is permitted a) Five types of positive evaluation:

Aa) (A) Frequent - 91-100 marks;

Ab) (B) Very good - 81-90 points of maximum grade; Ac) (C) Good - 71-80 points of maximum evaluation;

Ad) (D) Satisfactory - 61-70 points of maximum grade; Ae) (E) Sufficient - 51-60 points of maximum grade.

B) Two types of negative evaluation:

Ba) (FX) fails - 41-50 points of maximum grade, which means that the student needs more work to pass and is given the opportunity to take the additional exam once independent work;

Bb) (F) Interrupted - 40 points or less, which means that the student's work is not sufficient and the subject has been re-studied.

3. In case of receiving a negative evaluation (FX) in the study component, the student is allowed to take an additional final exam in the same semester (bachelor's thesis is repeated in the same or next semester). An additional exam is scheduled at least 5 days after the announcement of the final exam results. The student's marks on the additional exam are not added to the scores on the final grade. In the event of a score of 0-50 in the final grade of the educational component, the student is assigned a score of F-0 in the final assessment of the additional exam.

4. The forms of student semester evaluation in the study component are:

- Intermediate evaluation (single or multiple);

- Final evaluation.

5. Distribution of a specific share among the evaluation forms in the evaluation system of the study component is done by the syllabus of the training component. The syllabus also defines the components, methods and criteria for the evaluation of the training component.

6. The final evaluation shall be calculated on the basis of summing up the intermediate and final evaluations.

7. The margin of competence shall be specified in each form of assessment. The minimum share of the margin of competence for the final assessment shall not exceed 60% of the final assessment. The teacher of the course is entitled to set the minimum competence threshold in the assessment component as well.

8. The sum of the thresholds for the midterm and final grades shall be 51 points.

9. The right to pass the final exam is given to the student who has overcome the thresholds / thresholds for the intermediate assessment / intermediate assessment components provided by the syllabus. It is possible for the student to pass the intermediate components of the syllabus repeatedly until the final exam to reach the threshold of the intermediate assessment.

10. If the student passes the grade point lower than the margin of competence set by the syllabus, if the student has scored 41-50% of the maximum grade on the intermediate grade, he / she shall repeat the final exam. And if the student receives a lower grade on the margin of competence set by the syllabus on the final exam and the student passes the F-0 final grade of 40% of the maximum grade on the intermediate grade. In this case the student will repeat the course.

11. In the event of receiving 0-50% of the final grade in the educational component, taking the F-0 grade in the final grade of the educational component, the student will be graded F-0 and the student will pass the course again. Intermediate and Final Exams Educational

Depending on the specifics of the course (course) of the program, it is conducted in writing, orally or electronically.

12. The total number of credits for the curriculum component (s) of the doctoral education program shall not exceed 60 credits.

13. The doctoral thesis is evaluated by axial system. Criteria for the evaluation of the seminar are determined by the relevant doctoral program and the regulation of the dissertation board.

14. The curriculum component (s) of a doctoral education program shall be assessed in accordance with the system referred to in Article 2.

15. The following grading system is used for the evaluation of the research component (dissertation) of the doctoral education program:

A) summa cum laude - excellent work;

B) very good (magna cum laude) - a result that exceeds all requirements;

(C) good (cum laude) - a result that exceeds the requirements;

D) Medium (bene) - a medium-level work that meets the essential requirements;

E) rite - a result that, despite the flaws, still meets the requirements;

F) insufficient - a work of unsatisfactory level that fails to meet the requirements due to significant deficiencies therein;

G) completely unsatisfactory (sub omni canone) - a result that does not fully meet the requirements.

If the assessment provided for in paragraphs (a) to (e) of this article is made, the doctorate shall be awarded an academic degree of a doctor. In case of acceptance of assessment under point f the doctorate is allowed to submit the processed dissertation for one year, and in case of assessment under point g the doctor loses the right to submit the same dissertation.

16. Dissertation, master's thesis / thesis should be assessed in the same or next semester in which the student completes his / her thesis. The dissertation, master's thesis / thesis is evaluated on a one-time (final grade) basis with relevant criteria.

17. In case of receiving the assessment provided for in Article 2 (b) (b) of the Scientific-Research Component of the Master's Degree Program, the Magistrate shall be entitled to submit a revised Scientific-Research Component during the following semester, and paragraph (b) of the same Article. Receive the assessment provided for in sub-paragraph bb In that case, the master loses the right to submit the same work.